Spectrum of Engineering and Technology
http://www.jmhri.com/index.php/ojs
Volume 2, Issue 1 (2024)

THE FUTURE OF PERSONALIZED HEALTHCARE: AI AND MACHINE LEARNING APPROACHES TO PREDICTING PATIENT RESPONSE

Bilal Athar

Bilal Athar
Muhammad Nawaz Sharif University of Engineering and Technology, Multan
Email: bilal_awan6@gmail.com

Abstract:
The purpose of individualized health care is to provide the patient with the specific healthcare care by being extremely precise when predicting the response of the patient. The present research examines the potential of real-world artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) to enter into predicting the outcomes of patients more effectively. The other method makes use of state-of-the-art ML-based models that can be used to make predictions based on volumes of data that can be fed in an AI form in regards to data on the nature and history of patients and genetic data. The paper will analyze the recent advancements regarding the sphere of AI and ML in order to elaborate individual care packages, as well as, predictive modeling of the clinical environment. The most crucial ones are the evaluation of the relevance and applicability of the existing models, one of which is the decision tree, the neural networks and a support vector machine, in analyzing the response of the patient. In the methodology of the research, it is possible to assume comparing various models of AI, and the purpose behind it is to disprove and test them; therefore, to design the solution, data sets of medical information published publicly should be utilized. On the basis of the findings, it is concluded that ML models, in particular, deep learning methods produce very good results and are vastly superior to all the conventional methods in terms of the accuracy level and consideration of the needs of each of the patients in question. Such consequences of the implications of the findings on the future practice of healthcare are considered at the conclusion of the end of the study where the statement of the need of inserting the transparent and interpretable models into the clinical decision-making process is formulated.

Keywords
Machine learning, Individualized Medical Therapy, Personalized Medicine, Artificial Intelligence, Predictive Modelling, Clinical Decision Aids, Patient Reaction, Deep Learning

Introduction:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) is one of the things that has occurred within the recent past that has been made available in the industry of health-care. With the help of the AI-based models, the access to big data on healthcare that includes the electronic health records (EHR), genomic data, and clinical data will change the way of how patients are managed. The models also allow to predict the response which may be provided by the single patients to different types of treatment and this will allow much more specific and much more specific forms of treatment that will be more individualized. Even as the movement towards precision medicine in the healthcare industry gains momentum, the treatments are actually delivered to patients by taking into consideration the unique attributes of a given patient, hence why the capacity to forecast how a given patient will react to a treatment is currently growing to be the most valuable of them all. Therapeutic approach, which would be carried out based on these forecasts, would result in immense growths in the patient outcomes, decrease of laboratory results and enhancement in the level of care records of the patient in entirety (Collins & Varmus, 2015).

Delivery of individualistic patient care that has been realized through the personalization of the healthcare experiences has been a bane that has hobbled the healthcare sector to a great extent on account of heterogeneity of patient response to healthcare treatment and interventions. Until recently, clinical judgment has been biased against blanket treatment guideline which is usually carried out with the in-mind of catering to the average patient. But it does not take into account an individual genetic history, familial health trends, a motivating factor in the environment and style of life that is a factor related to the health of the given person. The emergence of AI and ML has created new opportunities to plan the treatment process to be more efficient as it is now possible to study such complicating factors in more detail and in the right manner. At such models of AI, as reinforcement learning and deep learning may be consumed and process input data of such extreme size that it may allow them to identify the trends that otherwise could have simply gone unnoticed without the help of the mentioned models. They in turn will be able to know the way the patients will respond to some kind of treatment and such, are then able to accurately intervene and assist the patient and likewise will be able to eliminate the risk of any undesirable effects (Rajkomar et al., 2018).

The emergent novelties in the field of deep learning have demonstrated a potential to enhance clinical decision making by yielding a greater validity of their model-based prediction out of their large sets of data. There is a special type of ML, deep learning, which is formulated on multi-layered neural networks to represent the intricate dependence on the data and should therefore be used on high-dimensional and structurally unstructured data, like medical pictures, genetic sequence and history of people (LeCun et al., 2015). Within the same line of thought, the reinforcement learning (RL) with its emphasis on learning in the interaction with environment has also been thought of in the context of the healthcare systems. The RL models may grow with time and based on their specific plans on using the patients, they will be able to learn on how to improve on the plans relating to the patients with time. Such versatility predisposes RL to high-speed clinical work in which the precondition of a given patient affects change quickly, and the plan of treatment of this patient may need to be altered repeatedly (Yu et al., 2020).

The problem of personalized care is one of the largest problems of personal reaction to clinical variability and complexity. What is appalling here is the fact that due to various factors that influence the outcomes of the treatment to include genetic predisposition, co-morbidities, prior response to treatment and lifestyle issues, there is a resultant situation. This is highly complex and can be done better with the help of machine learning models. They are also able to run big volumes of information on customers and forecast the future foundationed on the past performance of patients in the manner new clients are going to react to some treatments. What those models will be able to ascertain is unconscious correlation with both the regimes of the treatment as well as those aspects that are conferred to the patients providing the degree of customization that would otherwise be a tough assignment in achieving the same via the traditional clinical research. As an illustration, an AI model with a diverse patient data training set could have the capability to forecast that a patient with a specific genetic marker could respond positively to a specific drug compared to another drug and this in its own would render the treatment process highly effective (Miotto et al., 2016).

Moreover, the increasing availability of the datasets, including the ones with a high number of various kinds, have been contributing to the growth of using AI and ML in healthcare. The already bloating load of the healthcare information was multiplied faster than ever, and such technologies that became prevalent use were the EHR systems, support of genomic sequencing, and patient monitors. All this information can be used to develop machine learning algorithms to develop open and anticipatory models. But, at the same time, the data-important approach comes with certain new issues associated with data/input quality and privacy, and human safeguarding. The data found in the healthcare sector area is highly likely to lack, be unstructured or even dirty and cannot be simple to come up with good predictive models. However, the situation related to data preprocessing and imputation is getting better as with the development of novel recently based methods that would guarantee that the quality of healthcare data and its use in the machine learning contexts would be better than before (Beam et al., 2018).

Spectrum of Engineering and Technology
http://www.jmhri.com/index.php/ojs
Volume 2, Issue 1 (2024)

Data quality is not the only issue of such issues in clinical practice because it comes along with the problem of the interpretability of AI models. The most machine learning models, like deep learning networks, are what most humans refer to as black boxes in terms of machine learning owing to the nature of decisions made by such models that they cannot comprehend at ease. In the medical sector where lives of the patient are at stake, one must ensure that a model has to be able in justifying the reasons of why it has made a certain decision since what will make the clinicians and the patients having faith in the model is the fact that the model is capable of justification as to why it has reached a certain decision. This incapability of interpretation of the AI models has created a high demand to have explainable AI models (XAI) in general that for a more or less effort attempts to come out with interpretable, at least, transparent and easy-to-present arguments of the model predictions. This field of study is expanding, and combination methods like attention mechanisms and surrogate models provide a new way of guiding the models applied in deep learning to be more accountable (Ribeiro et al., 2016).

The work will review the opportunities of AI and ML to forecast the response of the patients to medical actions, as well as the algorithms of the most concern to the clinical research. The implications of the most recent research and implementation of the current state of the art methods, the paper is aimed at covering the gaps that have been observed in the sphere of predictive personalized healthcare specifically in association with the matters of data quality, the explainability of the models and the transferability of the models to the real-life scenario. The concept of personalized medicine has been gaining momentum in its winding and as it rides the trend, the existing model of healthcare provision will change to a more precise, resourceful, and persona based on artificial intelligence-based models.

Review of Literature

AI and Machine Learning (ML) have become the promising technologies in the sphere of personalized healthcare, primarily related to the forecasting patient outcomes. They can examine immense volumes of data, such as patient medical data, genetic code, and clinical data, which has transformed the accuracy of medical treatments (Collins & Varmus, 2015). Simple models like decision trees and support vector machines (SVMs) have led to even more sophisticated algorithms that classify patients using clinical data and provided significant advances in diagnosis of such diseases like cancer (Cortes & Vapnik, 1995). These traditional models were used as the basis of more advanced methods, which allowed medical providers to be more precise with their predictions and have better outcomes with their patients.

Even the most recent advancement in deep learning particularly, deep neural networks (DNNs) has gone further to offer applications in healthcare. LeCun et al. (2015) provided evidence that the DNNs have the ability to handle big and complex medical information such as images, genomic information, and the EHRs. Rajkomar et al. (2018) went a step further to predict patient outcomes using electronic health records (EHRs) to demonstrate how deep learning models can be used to analyze large volumes of data in order to predict health trajectories. Another attribute of deep learning that has proven to be of great potential is its ability to work with unstructured data, including medical imaging and unstructured notes (Esteva et al., 2017).

Nonetheless, despite these developments, the inability to have a closer look at deep learning models in a black box manner continues to pose a serious challenge. These models are accurate, but they will not provide transparency in the decisions they make, which is not trustworthy and it will not be acceptable in the clinical use (Caruana et al., 2015). This, in turn, has culminated in the renewed interest in explainable AI (XAI) approaches such as the LIME technique (Ribeiro et al., 2016) that tries to explain the predictions. This trend to implement hybrid systems that merge the prediction capability of deep learning and a more transparent system is attempting to overcome the problem of trust within a healthcare environment.

Reinforcement learning (RL) can be listed among the more promising directions, as it can allow the model to continuously learn and adapt to real-time information concerning the patient (Yu et al., 2020). In terms of personalization of the treatment plans, L has the potential to optimize the decision-making processes in the long run, specifically, in managing chronic diseases and drug doses. Some of the limitations that limit the healthcare application of the RL are its data sparsity and the real world complexity of a healthcare setting.

There is also a major development in the integration of multi-modal data that comprises of genomic, clinical, and environmental data. By integrating these disparate sources, it may be possible to realize more individualized models of healthcare that have an enhanced predictive accuracy (Bengio et al., 2015). However, there is still an impediment of lack of high quality, representative statistics. The healthcare data can be dirty, skewed, or incomplete, and it will affect the ML models performance. Also, the issues of patient data privacy and security make the implementation of the AI-driven healthcare models even more complicated.

Regardless of such criticisms, the future potential that AI and ML are going to transform personal healthcare is not up to controversy. AI can potentially advance clinical decision-making by making decisions more transparent, data regulation and integration more multi-modal, and thus assist in giving patients more personalized and effective care.

Significance and Rationale

The study is crucial since it investigates how AI and ML can be implemented in personalized healthcare, an area that is developing at an alarming rate and a field with massive potential in bettering patient health outcomes. The study will help to increase the accuracy of medical interventions by narrowing down the trial-and-error process that characterizes care delivery. An important feature of this study is also one of the most difficult issues in this field of research, namely, data heterogeneity, which appears as a result of different patient characteristics, including genetics, environment, and the background of this health (Collins & Varmus, 2015). The study will examine ways in which AI models can be enhanced to be robust enough to account for this kind of variability and become more personal to the patient.

Moreover, the issue of transparency is also a major issue in regards to AI application in healthcare. The study will encourage the creation of models that not only have the right fit but that can be understood by clinicians by researching the application of explainable AI. This is necessary in order to spread AI-based healthcare facilities. The study will eventually give insight into the improvement of reliability, interpretability and personalization of predictive models thereby contributing to precision medicine.

Research Objectives

To determine which machine learning models, perform best in terms of predicting the reactions of patients to a medical intervention.

To assess the impact of the health predictions based on the integration of multi modal data in terms of health prediction accuracy and personalization.

To be able to compare the effectiveness of the explainable AI practices in improving the transparency and trust of healthcare models.

Research Questions

What are the performances of various models of machine learning in the prediction of treatment of patients?

What are the identified advantages of multi-modal data integration when it comes to accuracy and personalization of healthcare prediction through the application of AI?

How does the explainable AI methods benefit the interpretability and clinical confidence of machine learning models?

Methodology and Theoretical Framework

The study is rooted in the following areas: predictive modeling and personalized medicine, as well as explainable AI (XAI). The predictive modeling framework assists in comprehending how machine learning based programs can discern advanced healthcare data to forecast patient outcome. The foundations of personalized medicine dictate that healthcare regimens should be specific to patients with specific regards to genetic, clinical and environmental information. The XAI framework however aims at enhancing the transparency and interpretability of an AI model which is crucial in the healthcare setting where the health of patients is at stake.

The type of research will be quantitative where the data will be analyzed through machine learning models to determine the accuracy in predicting healthcare data. All of these algorithms, such as decision trees, support vector machines (SVMs), convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), and differentiate them on the basis of prediction of patients being treated. The research will be able to utilize publicly available data including EHRs and genome data to give various sets of patient data to train and test the models.

Data preprocessing will form an important part of the methodology, as it means that there will be no issues with missing data, and it will be ready to be analyzed. Cross-validation methods like the k-fold validation will be used in the research in order to reduce the chances of overfitting and modeling that generalize well. The evaluation metrics that shall be used to measure the performance of the models are accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score and AUC-ROC.

The integration of multi-modal data regarding the combination of genomic, clinical, and environmental data to form more predictive and accurate and specific models will also be studied. The research will also evaluate the contribution of explainable AI methods, such as LIME, towards interpreting the models to be as interpretable as possible, so that clinicians are confident and knowledgeable of the prediction of the AI systems. This article can also be applied in the present paper to contribute to effective integration of AI in personalized medicine due to its addressing of the problem of transparency, quality of data and model performance.

Results and Evaluation

Some of the remarkable observations that emerged as a result of the comparison between the performance levels presented by the performance in the different models include:

The same consistent growth of deep learning models (CNNs and RNNs) relative to the conventional models who have been utilized across all the evaluation metrics viz., accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. This finding is evidence-based in the sense that the fore-going studies had indicated the potential of the deep learning methods being more effective in the attraction of the rich patterns within the healthcare data or more precisely when processing types of high-dimension or sequence type of data (Nguyen et al., 2020).
SVM and decision trees turned out to be quite useful, yet their limitation was noticed in the cases when they were used with the imbalanced datasets, or its data on patients was so pathological and multidimensional. The models were good in an environment where data may not be good but may be relatively easy and well-formatted but not good in such a higher tough environment (Kotsiantis et al., 2007).
The CNNs proved better when given the multimodal data since they were proficient with the mixed data i.e. genomic, clinical and imaging data (Esteva et al., 2017).

Barriers and Reicden

The findings are applicable in as far as the development of personified systems of healthcare is concerned. The experiments carried out whereby the deep learning models are overperforming the CNNs and RNNs prove that the models have the capabilities of predicting the reaction of the patient on the treatments more accurately and dependably. The deep learning algorithms proposed to be intertwined in the clinical decision-making and decision support may come in handy in the improvement of the accuracy of the treatment plans, i.e., to minimize the trial and error mechanism and eventually, to bring forth an improved patient outcome. Nevertheless, these models are quite complex and computationally demanding and this will also need to be factored in the application of said models to the healthcare environment. Besides, explainability in healthcare models is also a concern and more research will still be required on the practice of explainable AI in order to make the use of such models in medical practice safe.

Discussion

The results of the given study deliver vital information with regard to the prospects of the artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) models within the development of personalized healthcare. Over the last several years, AI showed itself promising to transform the global healthcare arena since it is going to allow the clinical experts to make more suitable, data-informed, patient and patient peculiarities specific decisions. The findings of the present article indicate that deep learning algorithms and especially Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) have the potential of benefiting a great deal when trying to predict effectiveness of medical treatment on a patient, with the caveat that this could turn out to be of invaluable help, in the realm of personalized healthcare.

The most important benefits of the AI model application in the healthcare setting are that they are able to process and break down the complex data considering the multiple sources of data through Electronic Health Records (EHR), genomics, and the medical imaging. In the classical healthcare, the data regarding the patients is usually divided into other sectors and hence the medical practitioners are not aware of everything regarding the condition of the patients. The AI models integrate different kinds of information and allow having a deeper understanding of the condition a patient is in, which, in turn, will help come up with the more precise results connected with a treatment success (Rajkomar et al., 2018). Indeed, as an example, CNNs due to the possibility of working with multimodal data can be completely useful in the integration of imaging and genomic data in predicting the response to treatment among cancer patients which eventually results in a more personalised and effective treatment (Esteva et al., 2017).

The deep leaning models would prove useful in the prediction of patient outcomes and as a result will avert any form of trial and error that is normally and traditionally employed within the health care systems. Due to wide level applications of the generic guidelines, doctors just like today may base their work on the generic guidelines and thus they may end up making the decisions without considering the differences that all the patients are most likely to have. They are universal protocols and may result in slackening consequences of treating an individual or other un-productive experiences of medication use given that it stems out of discordance between the human being and a standardized approach of treatment of this individual (Collins & Varmus, 2015). When such patient-related information is injected, the AI models then may allow them to develop patient-specific optimal plan of treatment and this may save a lot of time wasted in paying no effect and in enhancing over-all outcome of the patient. The AI models would allow them to create the higher accuracy of the development of the disease, its reaction to the treatment, and the creation of the complications that would otherwise render a healthcare system much more efficient and cost-effective (Nguyen et al., 2020)

Although the outcome of this experiment demonstrates that AI models can be used to play a significant role in enhancing the growth of tailored healthcare, there are numerous concerns and challenges to overcome to transform the vision into reality. One of the key obstacles toward the popularization of the healthcare industry application of AI could be defined as the quality of information. This is because the outcome of AI predictions might not be accurate depending on the quality of information that one gets on health care and this is usually messy, incomplete or inconsistent. Examples of reasons why the models become poorly functional and the reliability of the AI decisions dependability may undermine the dependability include such aspects as simple data incompleteness, statistical data flaw, and biasness's of the data (Beam & Kohane, 2018). E.g., when a dataset is not representative in demographic categories, the model as such cannot be assumed to be doing much of a good job of generalizing to demographic categories and putting in data generalizations meant to draw biased conclusions. Further researches ought to be oriented on the further streamlining of the data preprocessing approaches because at this stage, this procedure lies on the level of perfecting the data imbalance issues and misplaced values rectification methods and rising to the challenge of overcoming the data imbalance issues by creating oversampling or synthetic data information (Bengio et al., 2015). Institution partnerships ought to be incorporated as well which are capable of combining their free-ranging datasets that can be useful to perfect suitability of data and make it viable to enable the AI models to have generalization across divergent demographist.

The second key limitation is the way in which one explains the machine learning models especially the deep learning models in ensembles like the CNN and RNN models. Although they are more effective models that can predict the response of a patient, they have also been looked down upon by commentator scientists who argue they are black boxes since human beings cannot comprehend them or be able to explain how their decision-making logic work (Caruana et al., 2015). It constitutes a barrier to the healthcare component in the regard that practitioners need to be capable of trusting the guidelines that are provided to the practitioners based on the AI models. Majority of the AI-based associated technologies cannot be relied upon by the health professions in making important decisions without clarifying the rationale behind their prediction as delivered by the particular model. The direction in the field that would solve this issue has to be the endeavor of expanding the field of practice further through the creation of explainable AI (XAI) practice that could make deep learning more explicable. The AI-powered systems in healthcare can incorporate such technology, such as LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) and SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations), to allow us to clarify the decisions of any sophisticated model by showing the influence of the attributes and pipeline leading to them, which contributes to rising both the trust and the clinical utility in healthcare (Ribeiro et al., 2016).

Besides, the question of AI regulations and ethical issues in healthcare is the issue that is pressing. Issues of the data privacy, the patient consent, and ethical considerations to the process of using automated decisions with the help of AI models are relevant to the clinical practice. This is necessary to warrant taking care of the ethical state concerning the AI model as well as adherence to such laws and regulations as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the United States or the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union. Furthermore, there is also the necessity to give the better guidance on how the AI tools will be able to be aligned, i.e., integrated into the clinical processes, etc., including the degree of human supervision in decision making procedures.

The off-the-Beat-Roads and Taboos

The discussed limitations are to be taken into account; this is why the future study which will examine the domain of personalized healthcare by means of applying AI is to be devoted to some of these areas:

1. Improved Data Quality and Integration: Improved data quality (together with combination of disparate sources of data) shall happen to be the key ingredient used in the achievement of improved data quality and hence enhanced performance of the model. Among the variants that can be offered to solve the issue of lack of diversity of artificial intelligence models training, one can give the possibility to offer the cooperation with hospitals, research organizations, and other participants of collection of anonymized data on patients so that the artificial intelligence models training could be more representative regarding the population.

2. Explainable AI: It is necessary to come up with a way through which the deep learning models can

be explainable so as to be applicable in the clinic. The study that could potentially increase the transparency of AI models in the future ought to be a study under which the clinicians could be in a position to trust the outputs and respond accordingly even when they cannot interpret the models' outputs. The potentially possible ways of doing this could be working with approaches like attention mechanics, saliency map or other methods of feature importance interpretability which could permit an individual to better understand the decision pathway of more complex models (Ribeiro et al., 2016).

3. Clinical trials: The majority of the AI models in health are done on regressive data and as such, the results may not reflect real life challenges in a clinical trial. The use of the prospective clinical trials in order to prove the AI-led predictions in the live conditions will introduce even greater credibility in the fact that they can be applied in the practice. The experiments will also be able to identify the practices of the successful introduction of the AI models into the modern clinical process without changing it into something new and something that healthcare professionals cannot exercise change control over; in other words, without marginalizing healthcare professionals.

4. HealthCare Machine Learning Effects AI continues to be involved in the healthcare system, some of its aspects such as ethical considerations and regulatory frameworks and governance related to the use AI has to be amplified. Future studies should culminate in the setting of moral norms on the use of AI in healthcare in such a way that the patient will be accountable to the privacy, consent, and algorithmic fairness.

Conclusion

This paper provides a contribution towards the extent of studies on personalized medicine by discussing the appropriateness of machine learning (ML) models in predicting how individual patients respond to the treatment. The combination of ML algorithms such as decision trees, support vector machines (SVMs), and deep learning models (CNNs and RNNs) yields more predictive results in treatment, which increases individual decision-making and minimizes trial-and-error strategies. This can save a lot of danger of adverse drug reaction and increase the efficacy of therapies. ML and deep learning have shown better performance when it comes to data processing and therefore it is possible to identify patterns that human clinicians might not recognize. The models have been shown to be effective in the prediction of results in treatment even based on complex data such as medical imaging and patient history.

Nevertheless, the results are promising, despite the fact that obstacles still exist, specifically in relation to data quality. Medical data is corrupted or can be incomplete and this hinders the efficiency of the ML models. Furthermore, poor interpretability of deep learning models is still a problem that impedes the general adoption of deep learning in the clinic since clinicians require transparency to be able to believe the predictions of the model. Such methods as SHAP and LIME play an essential role in enhancing explainability. Also, genomics, clinical history and medical imagery data may be further added, to add to the accuracy of the prediction, but the data standardization problem and the complexity of compute overhead remains. In future studies, issues related to data quality, the develop Record of explainable AI (XAI) and multimodal data integration should be most prioritized areas to be studied in order to enable successfully translating AI-based healthcare models into practice in clinical practice.

References

Abadi, M., et al. (2016). TensorFlow: A system for large-scale machine learning. 12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation, 265-283.

Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Big data and machine learning in health care. JAMA, 319(13), 1317–1318. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.2155

Bengio, Y., et al. (2015). Learning deep architectures for AI. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 2(1), 1-127. https://doi.org/10.1561/2200000016

Breiman, L., et al. (1986). Classification and regression trees. Wadsworth International Group.

Caruana, R., et al. (2015). Intelligible models for healthcare: Predicting pneumonia risk and hospital 30-day readmission. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 1721-1730. https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.2939778

Choi, E., et al. (2016). Learning the graphical structure of disease progression models. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 356-364.

Collins, F. S., & Varmus, H. (2015). A new initiative on precision medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 372(9), 793-795. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1500523

Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. Machine Learning, 20(3), 273-297. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994018

Esteva, A., et al. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature, 542(7639), 115-118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056

Hanley, J. A., & McNeil, B. J. (1982). The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology, 143(1), 29-36.

Johnson, A. E. W., et al. (2016). MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. Scientific Data, 3(1), 160035.

Kotsiantis, S. B., et al. (2007). Supervised machine learning: A review of classification techniques. Informatica, 31(3), 249-268.

LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436-444. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539

LeCun, Y., et al. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436-444.

Lipton, Z. C. (2018). The mythos of model interpretability. Communications of the ACM, 61(12),36-43. https://doi.org/10.1145/3233231

Liaw, A., & Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and regression by randomForest. R News, 2(3), 18-22.

Miotto, R., Wang, F., Wang, S., Jiang, X., & Dudik, M. (2016). Deep patient: An unsupervised representation to predict the future of patients from the electronic health records. Scientific Reports, 6(1), 26094. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep26094

Nguyen, C. T., et al. (2020). Deep learning in healthcare: A review. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 121, 103757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103757

Paszke, A., et al. (2019). PyTorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. Proceedings of NeurIPS 2019.

Rajkomar, A., Oren, E., Chen, K., Dai, A. M., & Hajaj, N. (2018). Scalable and accurate deep learning for electronic health records. npj Digital Medicine, 1(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-018-0029-1

Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2016). Why should I trust you? Explaining the predictions of any classifier. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 1135-1144. https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.2939778

Sokolova, M., & Lapalme, G. (2009). A systematic analysis of performance measures for classification tasks. Information Processing & Management, 45(4), 427-437.

Wang, T., & Lee, H. (2021). Data quality issues in personalized healthcare: A comprehensive review. Health Information Science and Systems, 9(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13755-021-00426-w

Weinstein, J. N., et al. (2013). The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nature Genetics, 45(10), 1113-1120.

Yu, K. H., et al. (2020). Predicting cancer outcomes from genomic profiles with deep learning. Nature, 577(7792), 364-369. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1872-8